



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DW Jun-06

RIGDON PATENTS & ENGINEERING, PC
213 PARADISE MARSH CIRCLE
BRUNSWICK GA 31525

COPY MAILED

JUN 19 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Kenneth A. Mertz, Jr. : DECISION ON PETITION TO
Application No. 10/604,880 : WITHDRAW HOLDING OF
Filed: 23 August, 2003 : ABANDONMENT
Attorney Docket No. MER.0101 :
:

This is a decision on the petition filed on 25 April, 2006, to withdraw the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application was held abandoned for failure to timely respond to the non-final Office action mailed on 26 August, 2005, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 4 April, 2005.

Petitioner asserts that the Office action mailed on 26 August, 2005, was never received.

In the absence of any irregularity in the mailing of the non-final Office action, there is a strong presumption that the non-final Office action was properly mailed to practitioner at the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the non-final Office action was not in fact received. The showing required to establish the failure to receive an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office action was not received. A copy of the docket record where the non-received Office action would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's

statement. See "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received" 1156 Official Gazette 53 (November 16, 1993) and M.P.E.P. § 711.03(c). The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the non-final Office action may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the non-final Office action was lost in the mail.

A review of the record indicates that the Office action was properly mailed to petitioner at the correspondence address of record at the time of mailing. Thus, there was no irregularity in mailing the Office action on the part of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

In support of the petition, the petitioner's counsel, registered patent attorney Jonathan R. Smith, asserts that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office action was not received. Additionally, a copy of the docket report where the Office action would have been docketed and entered had it been received has been provided and has been referenced in the practitioner's statement.

The petitioner has made a sufficient showing of nonreceipt of the Office action. Accordingly, the Notice of Abandonment is hereby vacated and the holding of abandonment withdrawn.

The petition is GRANTED.

As petitioner has filed a response to the Office action with the present petition, remailing of the Office action is not required. Rather, the response filed with the present petition will be considered to be timely filed.

The application file is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3652 for consideration of the reply filed with the present petition.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions